Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 06680 041017Z

17/20

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 L-01 IO-03 CU-01 PRS-01 INR-05

RSC-01 /035 W

----- 101273

R 021000Z NOV 74

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8994

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION GERLIN

USMISSION NATO

USUN NEW YORK 1095

CONFIDENTIAL GENEVA 6680

LIMDIS

CORRECTEDCOPYFOR PARA 4 C

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR CSCE GW WB XG

SUBJ: CSCE FOUR POWER RIGHTS QUESTIONS

REF: A) BONN 17015; B) BONN 17023; C. GENEVA 6576; D) STATE 232680; E. ROME 14775; F. GENEVA 6539 (ALL NOTAL)

1. AS SUGGESTED BY BONN GROUP, REF A, A, FRENCH REP WILL INFORM SOVIETS WEEK OF NOVEMBER 4 OF NEGATIVE REACTION TO SOVIET QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS LANGUAGE, AND WILL INDICATE FLEXIBILITY ON EVENTUAL PLACEMENT OF BONN GROUP TEXT. BRITISH REP SUGGESTED TO FRENCH, FRG ANS US NOVEMBER 1 THAT HIS DELEGATION IS INTERESTED IN PURSUING IDEA DISCUSSED IN BONN GROUP THAT BONN GROUP COUNTRY DELS, SUPPLEMENT IF DESIRED BY EXPERTS FROM BONN GROUP OR CAPITALS, MIGHT REVIEW IN GENEVA CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 06680 041017Z

AT EARLY DATE ALL ISSUES PRESENTLY IN PLAY IN PRINCIPLES

DECLARATION THAT RELATE TO QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS QUESTIONS.

INCLUDED IN SUCH REVIEW MIGHT BE:

A. BONN GROUP LANGUAGE INTENDED TO PROTECT QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS, INCLUDING QUESTIONS OF EVENTUAL MODIFICATIONS THAT MIGHT BE MADE TO GET SUPPORT OF SOVIETS AS WELL AS OTHER WESTERN AND NEUTRAL DELS, AND WHETHER IT SHOULD BE PLACED IN TENTH PRINCIPLE (FULFILLMENT OF OBLIGATIONS) OR FINAL CLAUSES; B. OTHER DRAFTING OUESTIONS ON TENTH PRINCIPLE (FRENCH NOW RECOGNIZE THAT, FOR VARIOUS REASONS, MOST OF THER PROPOSAL MUST NOW BE REVISED;) C. RELATED MATTERS INCLUDING DIFFICULTIES ENEMY STATES ARTICLES OF UN CHARTER POSE FOR FRG, ITALY AND

ROMANIA, NOT ONLY WITH REFERENCE TO LANGUAGE IN PRESENT THIRD SENTENCE OF FRENCH DRAFT FOR TENTH PRINCIPLE CON-FIRMING VALIDITY OF ALL PROVISIONS OF UN CHARTER, BUT ALSO TO PARAGRAPHS OF ROMANIAN PROPOSAL ON NON-USE OF FORCE (PARTICULARLY PARA 3) AND FORMULATION ON NON-USE OF FORCE PRINCIPLE WHICH NOW BRACKETS REFERENCE TO CHARTER

2. OBJECT OF STUDY WOULD BE TO REACH AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS ON COMPLEX OF ISSUES WHICH COULD THEN BE REFERRED TO BONN GROUP AND CAPITALS AND SUBSEQUENTLY TO OTEHR MEMBERS OF EC-9 AND NATO CAUCUSES IN GENEVA AT EARLY ENOUGH DATE TO PERMIT FRENCH TO TABLE QUADRI-PARTITE RIGHTS PROPOSAL, INCLUDING SUCH OTHER REVISIONS OF THEIR TENTH PRINCIPLE DRAFT AS MAY BE DESIRABLE, BEFORE CHRISTMAS RECESS.

INTENDED TO PRESERVE RIGHT TO USE FORCE LEGALLY.

3. OTHER BONN GROUP DELS ARE PRESENTLY OUERYING CAPITALS ON IDEA. WE THINK THERE IS CONSIDERABLE MERIT IN OVERALL REVIEW, BRINGING TOGETHER BONN GROUP AND CSCE CONSIDERATIONS, OF AREAS IN PRINCIPLES DECLARATION WHERE QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS QUESTIONS ARE PRESENTED AND THAT SOONER IT UNDERTAKEN THE BETTER. WHILE SUCH A REVIEW COULD BE CONDUCTED ELSEWHERE, CONTINUING SCHEDULE OF CSCE NEGOTIATIONS APPEARS TO MAKE GENEVE BEST POSSIBLE LOCATION. WHILE PRESENT BEST GUESS IS THAT SUBCOMMITTEE WILL NOT REACH TENTH PRINCIPLE UNTIL SHORTLY AFTER CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 06680 041017Z

CHRISTMAS RECESS, WORK COULD SPEED UP AND IN ANY EVENT RELATED ISSUES, PARTICULARLY IN CONNECTION WITH ROMANIAN PROPOSAL, WILL BE DEALTH WITH IN NEXT FEW WEEKS. GIVEN EMERGING ITALIAN SENSITIVITIES TO ENEMY STATES ISSUES AND REPORTED CONCERNS OF NEW HEAD OF FRG DELEGATION (REFS B, D AND E) IT STRIKES US AS PARTICULARLY USEFUL THAT THESE ISSUES BE DEALTH WITH IN UNIFIED WAY BY BON GROUP DELS.

4. WE OFFER FOLLOWING THOUGHTS ON TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS IT MIGHT BE DESIRABLE FOR US TO KEEP IN MIND DURING ANY SUCH COMPRESENSIVE REVIEW

A. WE SHOULD BE CAUTIOUS IN ADVOCATING ANY REVISION OF BONN GROUP LANGUAGE ON PRESERVATION OF QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS. WE SHOULD RECOGNIZE, HOWEVER, THAT SOVIETS MIGHT EVENTUALLY INSIST ON MODIFICATION AT LEAST ALONG LINES DISCUSSED PARA 3 REF F WHICH WOULD MAKE FORMULATION CLOSER TO THAT OF NOVEMBER 1972 QUADRIPARTITE DECLARATION. NUMBER OF WESTERN DELS, NOTABLY CANADIANS, HAVE ALSO EXPRESSED UNHAPPINESS AT AMBIGUITY OF WHETHER BONN GROUP LANGUAGE IS MEANT TO RESTRICT APPLICATION OF PHRASE "IN ACCORDANCE WITH INTERNATIONAL LAW" TO ARRANGEMENTS, THUS WEAKENING, IN THEIR VIEW, ANTI-BREZHNEV COCTRINE ASPECTS OF TEXT. NEUTRAL DELS ALSO MAY HAVE OWN SPECIAL CONCERNS WHICH WILL NEED TO BE DEALT WITH TO ENSURE THAT TEXT IS ACCEPTABLE TO FULL CSCE.

B. ENEMY STATES SENSITIVITIES OF FRG AND ITALY COME INTO PLAY IN DIRECT RATIO TO DEGREE OF PROMINENCE AND PRECISION OF REFERENCES TO CHARTER IN DECLARATION. OUR INTERESTS IN HAVING CLEAR REFERENCE TO CHARTER IN DECLARATION, ON OTHER HAND, VARIES FROM PLACE TO PLACE DEPENDING UPON PROXIMITY OF LANGUAGE WHICH, WITHOUT SUCH CLEAR REFERENCE, MIGHT OTHERWISE BE CONSTRUED AS REDUCING OUR RIGHTS IN BERLIN OR OUR RIGHT TO USE FORCE IN GENERAL FOR LEGAL PRUSPOSES. SENTENCE WHICH CAUCES MOST DIFFICULTIES FOR FRG AND ITALY IS FLAT STATEMENT IN PRESENT FRENCH DRAFT FOR TENTH PRINCIPLE TO EFFECT THAT "NOTHING IN THIS DECLARATION CAN BE INTERCONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 GENEVA 06680 041017Z

PRETED IN A MANNER CONFLICTING WITH A PROVISION OF THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS," WHILE WE FEEL MOST IN NEED OF DIRECT, UNAMBIGUOUS REFERENCE TO UN CHARTER IN RELATION TO PARAGRAPH 3 OF ROMANIAN PROPOSAL AND TO SECOND PRINCIPLE FORMULATION WHICH OTHERWISE CONTAIN VERY RESTRICTIVE LANGUAGE.

C. SINCE OUR SOMEWHAT CONFLICTING INTERESTS DO NOT NECESSARILY FOCUS AT SAME PLACES IN DECLARATION, WAY MIGHT BE OPEN FOR SOME MUTUAL ACCOMDATION. WE MIGHT THUS SHOW CONSIDERABLE FLEXIBILITY TOWARD FRG AND ITALIAN CONCERNS ON TENTH PRINCIPLE SENTENCE, AS SUGGESTED REFS B AND C, IF WE GET BONN GROUP LANGUAGE ON QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS, SINCE SUCH LANGUAGE WOULD PROTECT OUR MAIN INTEREST AND INDIRECTLY COVER UN CHARTER (AS

VALID INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT). ON OTHER HAND, WE WOULD HOPE THAT FRG AND ITALY COULD BE BROUGHT TO ACCEPT IMPOR-TANCE OF CLEAR REFERENCES TO CHARTER IN ROMANIAN PROPOSAL AND IN SECOND PRINCIPLE WHERE THEIR ABSENCE, OR WEAKER PHRASE LIKE "PURPOSES AND PRINCIPLES OF UN CHARTER", COULD OTHERWISE BE CONSTRUED AS CUTTING BACK ON LAWFUL USES OF FORCE. WE BELEIVE THAT THERE IS SOME HOPE OF GETTING FRG AND ITALIANS TO ACCEPT SOME SUCH DICHOTOMY IN MANNER IN WHICH CHARTER REFERENCES ARE USED SINCE THERE ARE SUBSTANTIAL PRECEDENTS FOR UNAMBIGUOUS REFERENCE TO CHARTER IN RELATION TO NON-USE OF FORCE, I. E., FRIENDLY RELATIONS DECLARATION AND DEFINITION OF AGRESSION. ITALIANS, INCIDENTALLY, HAVE ALREADY INDICATED THAT THEY WILL WITHDRAW THEIR ENEMY STATES-GROUNDED OBJECTIONS TO BONN GROUP LANGUAGE ON QUADRIPARTITE RIGHTS PROVIDED SOMETHING IS DONE ABOUT THIRD SENTENCE IN FRENCH DRAFT ON TENTH PRINCIPLE.

D. WE RECOGNIZE THAT ROMANIANS CAN STILL BE EXPECTED TO RESIST ANY AND ALL DIRECT REFERENCES TO UN CHARTER. AS WE HAVE SEVERAL TIMES NOTED, HOWEVER, ROMANIANS ARE IN ESSENCE ATTEMPTING TO GET CONFERENCE TO GIVE THEM ASSURENCE WHICH ONLY SOVIETS CAN GIVE, AND THEY WOULD BE BETTER ADVISED TO CONCENTRATE ON BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH SOVIETS. ROMANIANS WILL PROBABLY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 GENEVA 06680 041017Z

CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT WE WILL YIELD TO THEM ON THIS MATTER, HOWEVER, UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE ARE ABLE TO SHORE UP THOSE PARTS OF WESTERN CAMP WHICH HAVE THEIR OWN ENEMY STATES SENSITIVITES. WE WOULD HOPE THAT FLEXIBILITY ON DRAFTING OF TENTH PRINCIPLE, COMBINED WITH ALLIED SOLIDARITY ON NON-USE OF FORCE FORMULTAIONS, MIGHT LEAD ROMANIANS EVENTUALLY TO MODERATE PRESENT POSITION.

5. ACTION REQUESTED: DEPARTMENT REACTION TO BRITISH PROPOSAL FOR GENEVA-BASED REVIEW OF ISSUES RELATED TO QUADRIPARITITE RIGHTS AND TO TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS SET OUT PARA 4 ABOVE.ABRAMS

CONFIDENTIA	L
-------------	---

NNN

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: AGREEMENTS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY, POLICIES, BERLIN FOUR POWER OCCUPATION, ENEMY STATE CLAUSES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 NOV 1974 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: smithrj
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974GENEVA06680

Document Number: 1974GENEVA06680 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740315-0547 From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741177/aaaacnek.tel Line Count: 214

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Glassification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: A) BONN 17015; B) BONN 17023; C. GEN, EVA 6576; D) STATE 232680;
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: smithrj

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 30 APR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <30 APR 2002 by rowelle0>; APPROVED <18 MAR 2003 by smithrj>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CSCE FOUR POWER RIGHTS QUESTIONS

TAGS: PFOR, GE, WB, XG, CSCE

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005